### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

				•			
	see form P	CT/ISA/220	[	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) s	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	cant's or agent's file of			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	national application N /GB2004/050011		International filing date (c 16.09.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.09.2003		
	national Patent Class F27/28, H01F17/		both national classification	and IPC			
Appli							
		ERSITY ENT	ERPRISES LTD.				
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o					
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned stat applicability; c	ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard t s supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial atement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication			
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	submit to the IPF	EA a written rep date of mailing	ly together, where appro	poriate, with amendr	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						

Agante da Silva, P

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7783

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/572407 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 MAR 2005 International application No. PCT/GB2004/050011

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With r	egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the International application in neguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	lá	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With neces	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3		n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretonas been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4	. Addi	tional comments:				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-23

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-5477204

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 13 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a line interface transformer (see column 1, lines 11-28) having a primary circuit for coupling to a transmission line and a secondary circuit for outputting a signal transmitted over the transmission line (see from column 2, line 52 to column 3, line 11 and from column 3, line 64 to column 4, line 4), each circuit being formed of a continuously electrically conductive material (see column 2, lines 60-62) and in which the primary circuit and the secondary circuit are parallel and in the same plane (see column 2, lines 19-21 and lines 52-56).

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 14, 15 and 16 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
  - 2.1 Since the line interface transformer mentioned in method claims 14 and 15 is not new and the remaining method steps, which are considered to be among the customary practice followed by the skilled person, claimed therein do not involve an inventive step, claims 14 and 15 are rendered as not inventive.
  - 2.2 Document D1 discloses, according to the features of claim 16 insofar they can be understood, a coreless transformer comprising; a primary circuit and a secondary circuit having a number of turns and having alternating primary and secondary conductors adjacent one another (see from column 2, line 52 to column 3, line 11 and from column 3, line 64 to column 4, line 4). The remaining features are either unclear (see section VIII) or non inventive in the light of the documents in the International Search Report or well within the knowledge of the skilled person. Claim 16 thus does not involve an inventive step.

3. Dependent claims 1 to 12 and 17 to 23 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see document cited in the International Search Report and the corresponding passages.

As a further remark, claim 1 comprises all the features of claim 13 as is thus regarded as being a claim dependent thereon. Considering the additional feature of claim 1 wherein the line interface transformer is comprised within a digital subscriber line modem, this feature is regarded as not involving an inventive step. When faced with the technical problem of how to reduce the dimensions of a DSL modem, the skilled person would without involving an inventive step incorporate the transformer of D1, designed for a small footprint and good electromagnetic properties, into a DSL modem reaching thus to the subject-matter of claim 1.

#### Re Item VIII

- 1. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 14, 15 and 16 are not clear.
- 1.1 Although claims 1, 13, 14, 15 and 16 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 1.2 The term "substantially" used in claims 1, 2 and 7 and the expression "For use in a DSL modem" is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 1.4 Claim 16 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. By referring to "there being a combination of said number of turns and a number of layers sufficient to obtain a transformer action for passing said digital data signal from said primary circuit to said secondary product" the claim attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/050011

achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.